

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/904,516	9/904,516 07/16/2001		Nathalie Mougin	P 0281573 B00/2208 US	P 0281573 B00/2208 US 2271	
909	7590	01/28/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
PILLSBUR	Y WINT	THROP, LLP	SHARAREH,	SHARAREH, SHAHNAM J		
P.O. BOX 10500 MCLEAN, VA 22102				ART UNIT	ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
				1617		

DATE MAILED: 01/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/904,516	MOUGIN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Shahnam Sharareh	1617

			1017	,
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover she t with the	correspond nc add	ress
There final i condi	REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF efore, further action by the applicant is required to a rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	 a timely filed amendment wh 	cation. A proper re	cation in
	PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) [The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of			
b) [The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of	of the final rejection.	
have be 37 CFF (b) abo	densions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat sen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens R 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened we, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of th	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action: or	tension fee under
1.🖂	A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>30 December 2003</u> . 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	Appellant's Brief must be filed R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal	d within the period s of the appeal.	et forth in
2.🖂	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) $oxtimes$ they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) 🔲 they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	pelow);		
(0	 they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or 	n better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) 🔲 they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejec	tion(s):		
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5.⊠	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	r reconsideration has been con e Continuation Sheet	sidered but does NO	OT place the
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly
7.	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or bould be rejected is provided bel	o) will be entered ow or appended.	and an
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
	Claim(s) allowed:			
	Claim(s) objected to:			
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>18-33</u> .			
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 1-17.			
8.	The drawing correction filed on is a) appr	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9.	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer		A Committee of the Comm	
0.	Other:		RUSSELL TRAVER	
			PRIMARY EXAMINE	EH .

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the newly amended claims changes the scope of the invention, thus requiring further search and consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: of the reasons of record. Further, Examiner would like to assert that the amended claims merely modifies the intended of a product claim. Modification of intended use does not impart patentability absence an elemental difference with the prior art. Examiner suggests incorporation of an element into the newly amended claim to better define the instantly claimed invention over the prior art.